APPENDIX F RMAT ANALYSIS

RMAT Climate Resilience Design Standards Tool Project Report

Acushnet to Fall River Reliability Project, New Line 114 Date Created: 4/19/2022 4:26:41 PM

Created By: carmen.dancy

Download

Project Summary

Estimated Construction Cost: \$52700000.00 End of Life Year: 2074 Project within mapped Environmental Justice neighborhood: Yes

Ecosystem Benefits	Scores
Project Score	Moderate
Exposure	Scores
Sea Level Rise/Storm Surge	Not Exposed
Extreme Precipitation -	Moderate
Urban Flooding	Exposure
Extreme Precipitation -	High Exposure
Riverine Flooding	
Extreme Heat	High Exposure



Asset Summary					Number of Assets: 1
Asset Risk	Sea Level Rise/Storm Surge	Extreme Precipitation - Urban Flooding	Extreme Pr - Riverine F	ecipitation looding	Extreme Heat
115 kV electric transmission line	Low Risk	Moderate Risk	High	n Risk	High Risk
Project Outputs					
	Target Planning Horizon	Intermediate Planning Horizon	Percentile	Return Peri	od Tier
Sea Level Rise/Storm Surge					
115 kV electric transmission line					
Extreme Precipitation					
115 kV electric transmission line	2070			25-yr (4%)	Tier 3
Extreme Heat					
115 kV electric transmission line	2070		90th		Tier 3

Scoring Rationale - Exposure

Sea Level Rise/Storm Surge

This project received a "Not Exposed" because of the following:

- Not located within the predicted mean high water shoreline by 2030
- No historic coastal flooding at project site
- Not located within the Massachusetts Coast Flood Risk Model (MC-FRM)

Extreme Precipitation - Urban Flooding

This project received a "Moderate Exposure" because of the following:

- Maximum annual daily rainfall exceeds 10 inches within the overall project's useful life
- No historic flooding at project site
- No increase to impervious area

• Existing impervious area of the project site is less than 10%

Extreme Precipitation - Riverine Flooding

This project received a "High Exposure" because of the following:

- Part of the project is within a mapped FEMA floodplain, outside of the Massachusetts Coast Flood Risk Model (MC-FRM)
- Part of the project is within 500ft of a waterbody and less than 20ft above the waterbody
- No historic riverine flooding at project site
- Project is not likely susceptible to riverine erosion

Extreme Heat

This project received a "High Exposure" because of the following:

- 30+ days increase in days over 90 deg. F within project's useful life
- Existing trees are being removed as part of the proposed project
- · Less than 10% of the existing project site has canopy cover
- Located within 100 ft of existing water body
- No increase to the impervious area of the project site

Scoring Rationale - Asset Risk Scoring

Asset - 115 kV electric transmission line

Primary asset criticality factors influencing risk ratings for this asset:

- Asset may inaccessible/inoperable for more than a day but less than a week after natural hazard event
- Greater than 100,000 people would be directly affected by the loss/inoperability of the asset
- The infrastructure provides services to populations that reside within Environmental Justice neighborhoods or climate vulnerable populations.

Infrastructure

Low Risk

Moderate Risk

- · Inoperability of the asset would result in moderate or severe injuries or moderate or severe impacts to chronic illnesses
- Cost to replace is between \$30 million and \$100 million
- There are no hazardous materials in the asset

Project Design Standards Output

Asset: 115 kV electric transmission line

Sea Level Rise/Storm Surge

Applicable Design Criteria

Projected Tidal Datums: No Projected Water Surface Elevation: No Projected Wave Action Water Elevation: No Projected Wave Heights: No Projected Duration of Flooding: No Projected Design Flood Velocity: No Projected Scour & Erosion: No

Extreme Precipitation

Target Planning Horizon: 2070 Return Period: 25-yr (4%)

Applicable Design Criteria

Tiered Methodology: Tier 3

Projected Total Precipitation Depth & Peak Intensity for 24-hr Design Storms: Yes

Asset Name	Recommended	Recommended Return Period	Projected 24-hr Total Precipitation	Step-by-Step Methodology for
	Planning Horizon	(Design Storm)	Depth (inches)	Peak Intensity
115 kV electric transmission line	2070	25-Year (4%)	8.2	Downloadable Methodology PDF

Limitations: While precipitation depth is useful for project planning and design, rainfall distribution and peak intensity of the design storm is recommended to also be considered. Lower-intensity, longer-duration storms allow time for infiltration and reduce the load on the infrastructure system over the duration of the storm. Higher-intensity, shorter-duration storms often have higher runoff volumes because the water does not have enough

time to infiltrate and infrastructure systems (e.g., catch basins) and may overflow or back up during such storms. In the Northeast, short -duration high intensity rain events are becoming more frequent, and there is often little early warning for these events, making it difficult to plan operationally. These events can result in the rapid inundation of the asset project location. Design should consider both short- and long-duration precipitation events and how they may impact the asset.

The precipitation values provided by this Tool (version 1) are recommended to inform planning and design, but they do not guarantee that the asset will be protected from or be able to withstand an extreme precipitation event. The planning, design, and review guidance accompanying these values is general and projects are encouraged to do their own due diligence to understand the vulnerability of their asset.

Projected Riverine Peak Discharge & Peak Flood Elevation: Yes

Extreme Heat

Target Planning Horizon: 2070 Percentile: 90th Percentile

Applicable Design Criteria

Tiered Methodology: Tier 3

Projected Annual/Summer/Winter Average Temperatures: Yes Projected Heat Index: Yes Projected Growing Degree Days: No Projected Days Per Year With Max Temp > 95°F, >90°F, <32°F: Yes Projected Number of Heat Waves Per Year & Average Heat Wave Duration: Yes Projected Cooling Degree Days & Heating Degree Days (base = 65°F): No

Project Inputs

Core Project Information	
Name:	Acushnet to Fall River Reliability Project, New Line 114
Given the expected useful life of the project, through what year do you estimate the project to last (i.e. before a major reconstruction/renovation)?	2074
Location of Project:	Acushnet, Dartmouth, Fall River, New Bedford
Estimated Capital Cost:	\$52,700,000
Who is the Submitting Entity?	Private Other New England Power Company d/b/a National Grid and NSTAR Electric Company d/b/a Eversource Erin Whoriskey and Christopher Newhall (Erin.Whoriskey@nationalgrid.com and christopher.newhall@eversource.com)
Is this project being submitted as part of a state grant application? Which grant program?	No
What stage are you in your project lifecycle?	Permitting
Is climate resiliency a core objective of this project?	No
Is this project being submitted as part of the state capital planning process?	No
Is this project being submitted as part of a regulatory review process or permitting?	Yes
Brief Project Description:	The proposed Project involves the installation of a new 115 kilovolt (kV) electric transmission line extending from Eversource's Industrial Park Tap in Acushnet west to NEP's Bell Rock Substation in Fall River. The AFRRP includes the installation of approximately 12.1 miles of new electric transmission located within existing rights-of-way (ROW) currently occupied by several other electric transmission lines. The goal of this Project is to meet the growing electrical needs of southern Massachusetts
Project Submission Comments:	
Design of Free states and the	

Project Ecosystem Benefits

Factors Influencing Output

- ✓ Project protects public water supply
- ✓ Project promotes decarbonization
- ✓ Project protects fisheries, wildlife, and plant habitat
- ✓ Project provides pollinator habitat
- ✓ Project provides cultural resources/education

Factors to Improve Output

- ✓ Incorporate nature-based solutions that may provide flood protection
- ✓ Incorporate nature-based solutions that may reduce storm damage
- \checkmark Incorporate green infrastructure or nature-based solutions that recharge groundwater
- ✓ Incorporate green infrastructure to filter stormwater
- \checkmark Incorporate nature-based solutions that improve water quality
- \checkmark Incorporate nature-based solutions that sequester carbon carbon
- \checkmark Preserve, enhance, and/or restore coastal shellfish habitats

High Risk

- ✓ Identify opportunities to remediate existing sources of pollution
- \checkmark Provide opportunities for passive and/or active recreation through open space
- \checkmark Increase plants, trees, and/or other vegetation to provide oxygen production
- ✓ Mitigate atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations and other toxic air pollutants through nature-based solutions
- \checkmark Identify opportunities to prevent pollutants from impacting ecosystems

Is the primary purpose of this project ecological restoration?

IN	0

Project Benefits		
Provides flood protection through nature-based solutions	No	
Reduces storm damage	No	
Recharges groundwater	No	
Protects public water supply	Yes	
Filters stormwater using green infrastructure	No	
Improves water quality	No	
Promotes decarbonization	Yes	
Enables carbon sequestration	No	
Provides oxygen production	No	
Improves air quality	No	
Prevents pollution	No	
Remediates existing sources of pollution	No	
Protects fisheries, wildlife, and plant habitat	Yes	
Protects land containing shellfish	No	
Provides pollinator habitat	Yes	
Provides recreation	No	
Provides cultural resources/education	Yes	
Project Climate Exposure		
Is the primary purpose of this project ecological restoration?	No	
Does the project site have a history of coastal flooding?	No	
Does the project site have a history of flooding during extreme precipitation events (unrelated to water/sewer damages)?	No	
Does the project site have a history of riverine flooding?	No	
Does the project result in a net increase in impervious area of the site?	No	
Are existing trees being removed as part of the proposed project?	Yes	

Project Assets

Asset: 115 kV electric transmission line

Asset Type: Utility Infrastructure

Asset Sub-Type: Energy (electric, gas, petroleum, renewable) Construction Type: New Construction

Construction Year: 2024

Useful Life: 50

No

Identify the length of time the asset can be inaccessible/inoperable without significant consequences.

Infrastructure may be inaccessible/inoperable for more than a day, but less than a week after natural hazard without consequences.

Identify the geographic area directly affected by permanent loss or significant inoperability of the infrastructure.

Impacts would be regional (more than one municipality and/or surrounding region)

Identify the population directly served that would be affected by the permanent loss or significant inoperability of the infrastructure. Greater than 100,000 people

Identify if the infrastructure provides services to populations that reside within Environmental Justice neighborhoods or climate vulnerable populations.

The infrastructure provides services to populations that reside within Environmental Justice neighborhoods or climate vulnerable populations. **Will the infrastructure reduce the risk of flooding?**

If the infrastructure became inoperable for longer than acceptable in Question 1, how, if at all, would it be expected to impact people's health and safety?

Inoperability of the infrastructure would result in moderate or severe injuries or moderate or severe impacts to chronic illnesses

If there are hazardous materials in your infrastructure, what are the extents of impacts related to spills/releases of these materials? There are no hazardous materials in the infrastructure

If the infrastructure became inoperable for longer than acceptable in Question 1, what are the impacts on other facilities, assets, and/or infrastructure? Significant – Inoperability is likely to impact other facilities, assets, or buildings and result in cascading impacts that will likely affect their ability to operate If the infrastructure was damaged beyond repair, how much would it approximately cost to replace?

Between \$30 million and \$100 million

Does the infrastructure function as an evacuation route during emergencies? This question only applies to roadway projects. No

If the infrastructure became inoperable for longer than acceptable in Question 1, what are the environmental impacts related to natural resources? No impact on surrounding natural resources is expected

If the infrastructure became inoperable for longer than acceptable in Question 1, what are the impacts to government services (i.e. the infrastructure is not able to serve or operate its intended users or function)?

Loss of infrastructure may reduce the ability to maintain some government services, while a majority of services will still exist

What are the impacts to loss of confidence in government resulting from loss of infrastructure functionality (i.e. the infrastructure asset is not able to serve or operate its intended users or function)?

Reduced morale and public support

Report Comments

N/A